UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,158	07/07/2003	Jeffrey P. Gilbard	2022(200696)	8240
21874 7590 04/14/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 POSTON, MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			FAY, ZOHREH A	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Annliagnt/a)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/615,158	GILBARD, JEFFREY P.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAIL INC DATE of this course should be seen	ZOHREH A. FAY	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Max</u>	arch 2009.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12 and 15-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-12 and 15-42</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-12 and 15-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	акент Аррисанон					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 2, 2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-12, 15-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 15-42 are indefinite as to the expression "at least about". The term "at least about" delineates only numerical values, where the term "about" may be less or more than the recited value. Because of the conflict of terms, it is unclear which term is limiting. See also MPEP 2173.05 (b)(citing Amgen v. Chugai, 18 uspq2d 1016 (Fed Cir. 1991), in which the phrase at least about was held indefinite).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 15-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (U.S. Patent 6,566,398) and Yano et al. and further over Troy et al. (U.S. 6,506,412)

Ueno teaches the use of n-6 fatty acids containing oil and N-3 fatty acids containing oil such as DHA and EPA in a pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of dry eye or dry mouth syndrome. See the abstract, column 4, lines 9-40. The addition of an antioxidant to such composition is taught in column 12, line 25. Yano et al. teach

Art Unit: 1612

the addition of vitamin E to DHA can exert beneficial effects on organ on organ dysfunction associated diseases. See the abstract. Troyer et al. teach the use of omega 3-fatty acids and omega 6-fatty acids in a pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of dry eye syndrome. See the abstract and claims 1 and 17. Schneider et al. teach the use of Vitamin E as an antioxidant in combination with prostaglandins in an ophthalmic formulation for the treatment of dry eye.

The primary reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of the claimed fatty components in combination and the presence of vitamin E. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to combine the claimed fatty components, considering that Ueno teaches the use of such compounds individually for the treatment of dry eye or dry mouth. The addition of antioxidants in general is also taught by Ueno. Furthermore, Yano et al. teach the addition of vitamin E to DHA can be beneficial in treatment of many disorders.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since Ueno and troy relate to the use of the claimed fatty components individually for the treatment of dry eye or dry mouth, the others relate to the use of vitamin E in combination with DHA as a beneficial factor for the treatment of disorders. Ueno also teaches the addition of antioxidants to the claimed fatty acids for the treatment of dry eye or mouth. To combine components being used individually for the treatment of dry eye or mouth, and use the combination for the same purpose would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art. See In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980). The determination of optimum proportions, amounts or

Art Unit: 1612

the source of fatty components is considered to be within the skill of artisan in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-42 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the high concentrations of EPA and DHA. The allegation is not well taken, considering that Troyer et al. teach the combination of omega-3 fatty acids, omega-6 fatty acids and GLA at least 94 mg. Furthermore, the determination of optimum proportions or amounts is considered to be within the skill of the art in the absence of evidence to the contrary. The combination of relied upon references clearly teach the combination of DHA and EPA for the treatment of dry eye. The references also make clear that Vitamin B12 has been previously used as an antioxidant in compositions used for the treatment of dry eye. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-6, 8-12 and 15-42 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,158 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612